

### Universiteit Utrecht



Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research



# **Statistical Learning and Cognitive Constraints on Rule Induction** An Entropy Model

Silvia RĂDULESCU, Efi GIANNOPOULOU, Frank WIJNEN, Sergey AVRUTIN

From little evidence to abstract rules in language acquisition (1) <u>statistical learning (Aslin & Newport, 2012</u>) (2) <u>algebra-like system (Marcus et al, 1999</u>)

71 adults, ~22y, ~4min, betweensubjects

### **Experiments 1&2 - Effect of Entropy on Rule Induction**



### An Entropy Model

slow

Mom

talked

nicely

B

played

Entropy (input complexity)

**Channel capacity** (encoding power = entropy/time)

**Entropy**  $\rightarrow$  a function of the <u>number</u> of different items in the input and their probability of occurrence (frequency)  $\rightarrow$  a measure of input complexity (bits)

> $H(X) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i) logp(x_i)$ (Shannon, 1948)

> > nicely

Bob

played



- **3-syllable XXY:** goo\_goo\_sjie
- manipulated ENTROPY (number & frequency)
- 2.8 bits (4 × 7Xs / 4 × 7Ys)
- 3.5 bits (4 × 6Xs / 4 × 6Ys)
- 4 bits (2 × 12Xs / 2 × 12Ys)
- 4.25 bits (2 × 14Xs / 2 × 14Ys)
- 4.58 bits (1 × 24Xs / 1 × 24Ys)
- 4.8 bits (1 × 28Xs / 1 × 28Ys)

### XXY\_new XXY\_trained

**Test** ("Could this string be possible in the language that you heard?")

- XXY\_trained\_syllables: goo\_goo\_sjie  $> X_1X_2Y$  new syllables: reu\_loo\_gee \*
- XXY\_new\_syllables: too\_too\_suu  $> X_1X_2Y$  trained syllables: teu duu saa \*



## **Experiment 3 - Effect of Channel Capacity on Rule Induction**

5x4=20

items

- 51 adults (age 19-44)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Medium Entropy: 2\*14 X/2\*14 Y (4.2 bits)
- 3 independent tasks: Forward Digit Span (FDS), Incidental Memorization Task (IMT), Raven's Standard Progressive Matrices (RSPM)

Incidental Memorization Task

**Raven's Standard Progressive Matrices** 





- Training:
- > 30 non-sense bi-syllabic words: go pem
- > What does this word sound like?
- **Surprise memory test:**
- Have you heard this word before?

> 13 targets + 13 foils







**Results** Ordinal Regression. Covariates: scores on the tests (FDS, IMT, RSPM). RSPM: significant **positive** effect on XXY\_new and X<sub>1</sub>X<sub>2</sub>Y\_trained; IMT: significant **negative** effect on XXY\_new and X<sub>1</sub>X<sub>2</sub>Y\_trained.



### Discussion

Rule induction -> the interaction between input entropy and a limited encoding power of the brain. A low entropy in the input does not boost generalization per se, so it allows for more variation in participants' individual tendencies to generalize. Thus incidental memory and pattern recognition are predicted to better explain that variation.

No need for algebraic rules. Cognitive constraints on statistical learning explain variations in rule induction.

### Conclusions

If input entropy increases, the tendency to generalize increases gradually. Lower incidental memory predicts a higher tendency to generalize. Higher visual pattern recognition predicts a higher tendency to generalize.

### **References**

Aslin, R.N., and Newport, E. (2012). Statistical learning: From acquiring specific items to forming general rules. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 21, 170–176.

Marcus, G. F., Vijayan, S., Rao, S. B., & Vishton, P. M. (1999). Rule learning by seven-month-old infants. Science, 283, 77–80. Shannon, C. E. (1948). A mathematical theory of communication. Bell System Technical Journal, 27, 379–423.